# Ed.D. in Educational Leadership Academic Assessment Plan 2012-13

College of Education Bernie Oliver beoliver@coe.ufl.edu Office of the Provost
University of Florida

Institutional Assessment

Continuous Quality
Enhancement

### **Table of Contents**

Acade	emic Assessment Plan for Ed.D. in Educational Leadership	3
A.	Mission	3
В.	Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures	4
C.	Research	5
D.	Assessment Timeline	5
E.	Assessment Cycle	6
F.	Measurement Tools	6
G.	Assessment Oversight	7
Appe	ndix 1: RATING SCALE FOR THE QUALIFYING EXAM	8
Figure	e 1. University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric	. 10
l	University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric, continued	. 11

### Academic Assessment Plan for Ed.D. in Educational Leadership

College of Education

#### A. Mission

The program in Educational Leadership is designed to prepare students for advanced leadership positions in Florida and the nation's educational institutions and policy arenas. To accomplish this purpose we provide exceptional and comprehensive curricular experiences through our campus and online degree programs. The program is research and practice based which prepares the Ed. D. doctoral students to enter the world of practice and research with a thorough understanding of the issues confronting America's educational system.

The mission of the Educational Leadership program aligns with the mission of the College of Education to prepare exemplary practitioners and scholars; to generate, use and disseminate knowledge about teaching, learning/human development; effective leadership and policy practices; and to collaborate with others through professional learning communities to solve educational and human problems in a diverse and global community. The Ed. D. program also aligns with supports the overall mission of the University of Florida. Our recent ranking as one of the top educational administration/leadership programs in the country (ranked 20th by US News and ranked 13th of all public institutions) aligns with the current quest of the University of Florida to become one of the top 10 research institutions in the country.

The University of Florida must create the broadly diverse environment necessary to foster multicultural skills and perspectives in its teaching and research for its students to contribute and succeed in the world of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. These three interlocking elements-teaching, research and scholarship, and service-span all of the university's academic disciplines and represent the university's commitment to lead and serve the state of Florida, the nation, and the world by pursuing and disseminating new knowledge while building upon the experiences of the past. The university aspires to advance by strengthening the human condition and improving the quality of life.

# **B. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures**

SLO Type	Student Learning Outcome	Assessment Method	Degree Delivery
Knowledge	Students will identify and describe the core curricular areas of educational leadership including: school finance and law; human resources; technology; data-driven decision-making, diversity; organizational theory, leading change, educational policy, instructional leadership, program evaluation, supervision and strategic planning.	Candidates will successfully complete the qualifying examination as measured by the candidates' university faculty advisory committee and complete written and oral reviews of research as part of classroom assignments.	Both
Skills	Candidates will apply clarity of written and oral expression to advanced levels of skill in the core areas of educational leadership, evaluation and dissertation research.	Candidates will demonstrate successful completion of the qualifying exam and oral demonstration of research knowledge as measured by the candidates' university faculty advisory committee and content professors.	Both
Skills	Candidates will identify and explain competencies and skills of instructional leadership, operational leadership, and school leadership to promote the success of all students and the improvement of teacher quality.	Candidates will successfully complete all assigned tasks and ratings tracked in the Educator Assessment System (EAS) as measured by the candidates' university faculty advisory committee.	Both
Professional Behavior	Candidates will apply ethical behavior, professional conduct, and communication.	Candidates will demonstrate successful completion of tasks and ratings tracked in the EAS, as well as successfully complete the Qualifying Exam and Oral Defense as measured by the university faculty advisory committee completion of classroom assessments, and participation in professional societies.	Both
Professional Behavior	Candidates will promote the success of all students by understanding the larger political, social, economic, legal and cultural issues.	Candidates will demonstrate successful completion of tasks and ratings in EAS, as well as successfully complete the Qualifying Exam and Oral Defense as measured by the university faculty advisory committee.	Both

#### C. Research

In addition to required coursework, Educational Leadership Ed. D. students will learn to be active consumers of research in order to plan curriculum and design classroom and student learning activities. Additionally, students will participate in professional organizations and in the practice of scholarship through professional presentations, journal manuscripts, and/or attendance at national, state, or local professional organizational meetings.

#### **D.** Assessment Timeline

<u>Program: Ed.D. in Educational Leadership</u> <u>College: Education</u>

Assessment	Assessment 1	Assessment 2	Assessment 3
Aloseosia cia		1100000011101110	rissessificate s
SLOs			
Knowledge			
#1	Written and Oral Reviews of Research (during coursework)	Written Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)	
Skills			
#2	Written Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)	Oral Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)	
#3	Key Tasks (Tracked in EAS; During Coursework)		
<b>Professional Behavior</b>			
#4	Key Tasks (Tracked in EAS; During Coursework)	Written Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)	Oral Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)
#5 Key Tasks (Tracked in EAS; During Coursework)		Written Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)	Oral Qualifying Exam (Upon Coursework Completion)

#### E. Assessment Cycle

Program: Ed.D. in Educational Leadership College: Education
Analysis and Interpretation: Sept 30\_\_\_\_\_\_

Program Modifications: Completed by \_Dec 15th\_\_\_\_\_

Dissemination: Completed by \_Feb\_\_\_\_\_

Year	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15	15-16
SLOs						
Content Knowledge						
#1	X	X	X	X	X	X
Skills						
#2	X	X	X	X	X	X
#3	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Professional Behavior</b>						
#4	X	X	X	X	X	X
#5	X	X	X	X	X	X

#### F. Measurement Tools

Content Knowledge-Upon completion of coursework, students are required to complete a comprehensive qualifying exam which includes questions from core areas. This exam is either take-home or taken during an exam period depending upon the student's faculty advisor. In individual courses students are required to conduct research literature reviews on topics identified by the faculty member and submit a written assessment of major research trends in the area.

Skills- The required qualifying exams measure students' content knowledge and ability to synthesize research related to the topics assessed on the exam.

Professional Behavior-each course in the program has presentation component, in which students are required to make oral presentations in class on selected research topics. This exercise provides them with the necessary skills to practice professional presentations necessary for the professoriate. In addition, the Ed. D. candidates are assigned a faculty advisor early in their program and are required to participate in developing scholarly presentations, review professional journals, and join professional organizations (e.g., UCEA, AERA, NEFA).

# G. Assessment Oversight

Name	Department Affiliation	Email Address	Phone Number	
Bernie Oliver	School of Human Development and	beoliver@coe.ufl.edu	273-4358	
	Organizational Studies			
Elayne Colón	Dean's Area	epcolon@coe.ufl.edu	273-4132	
Tom Dana	Dean's Area	tdana@coe.ufl.edu	273-4134	

# **Appendix 1: RATING SCALE FOR THE QUALIFYING EXAM**

Student	nt NameCha	air
Commit	nittee Member	
Externa	nal Committee Member	
Term of	of ExamOral Exam	
	PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO THE COMM	ITTEE CHAIR
mind th	e indicate carefully on the following scale your rating of this parthe readers of examination papers in the College of Education llowing criteria, among others:	
l.	Understanding of Material and Concepts.	
	Indicates familiarity with basic concepts and materials in are ideas; uses educational language effectively, avoiding inappr	
II.	Clarity of Expression.	
	Keeps discussion relevant to question; is clear and concise; le	ogical flow of ideas.
III.	Evidence of Scholarship.	
	Knows basic sources and major research in area; uses refere accurately and cites generalizations correctly.	nces appropriately. Gives facts

IV.	Critical Mindedness.	
	Supports beliefs and evidence; evaluates sour reading.	ces cited; presents evidence of reflection on
V.	Creativity.	
	Is sensitive to ramifications of problems; synthin diagnosis; proposes own solutions.	nesized own solutions to problems; shows insight
	Pass	Fail
NOTE:	Use the space below and back to mak You may keep the attached copy of th	e comments regarding your appraisal of the paper. e examination.
	Return only the Rating Scale to the co	ommittee chair.
сомм	ENTS:	

Figure 1. University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric Related resources are found at <a href="http://www.aa.assessment.edu">http://www.aa.assessment.edu</a>

Program: Year:

Component	Criterion	Rating			Comments
		Met	Partially Met	Not Met	
	Mission statement is articulated clearly.				
	The program mission clearly supports the				
Mission Statement	College and University missions, and includes				
	specific statements describing how it				
	supports these missions.				
Student Learning Outcomes	SLOs are stated clearly.				
(SLOs) and Assessment	SLOs focus on demonstration of student				
Measures	learning.				
Medsures	SLOs are measurable.				
	Measurements are appropriate for the SLO.				
	Research expectations for the program are				
Research	clear, concise, and appropriate for the				
	discipline.				
	The Assessment Map indicates the times in				
Assessment Map	the program where the SLOs are assessed and				
	measured.				
	The Assessment Map identifies the				
	assessments used for each SLO.				
	m , l · l				
	The assessment cycle is clear.				
	All student learning outcomes are measured.				
	Data is collected at least once in the cycle.				
	The cycle includes a date or time period for				
Assessment Cycle	data analysis and interpretation.				
	The cycle includes a date for planning				
	improvement actions based on the data				
	analysis.				
	The cycle includes a date for dissemination of				
	results to the appropriate stakeholders.				

### University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric, continued

Component	Criterion	Rating			Comments
		Met	Partially Met	Not Met	
Measurement Tools	Measurement tools are described clearly and concisely.  Measurements are appropriate for the SLOs.  Methods and procedures reflect an appropriate balance of direct and indirect methods.				
Assessment Oversight	The report presents examples of at least one measurement tool.  Appropriate personnel (coordinator, committee, etc.)				
	charged with assessment responsibilities are identified				